

Analoguetube AT-101 Stereo Limiter

by Barry Rudolph

FIELD TEST

Back To The Home Page



This "mirrored" page is published through the kind permission of MIX Magazine and <u>Penton Media Incorporated</u>.

Visit MIX Magazine's WEB Site at: <u>www.</u> mixonline.com

E-Mail A Link To This Page.



Solution Printer-Ready Copy Of This Review. You'll Need A <u>Free Acrobat PDF Viewer Plug-In</u> For Your Browser.

The Fairchild 670 (and mono 660 version) were originally designed by Russian émigré Rein Narma for Les Paul in the 1950s for limiting audio program going to disccutting amplifiers. It's considered by many to be the ultimate in audio limiters. This "holy grail" is found again and revised in Analoguetube's AT-101 stereo limiter, a superaccurate recreation that incorporates advances in component quality and



carefully designed modern additions, yet retains the true essence and sound of the original Fairchild 670 limiter.

BIG AND BEAUTIFUL

The rackmount AT-101 is nearly eight spaces tall, with 20 tubes, four transformers per audio channel, five more transformers, and chokes in the power supply and control sections; it weighs 65 pounds. It is handmade with wiring turrets and point-to-point connections using silver-stranded wire to mil-spec construction (circa 1950), ceramic tube sockets, handmade high-voltage silver-mica condensers, Hovland Musicap polypropylene film capacitors and custom-made Sowter transformers.

To solve the Fairchild's "Achilles' heel," Analoguetube commissioned JJ Electronics to remanufacture the long-out-of-production GE Five Star 6386 tubes--four matched sets are required per channel. The 6386 is a remote cut-off, twin-triode with exponential--as opposed to linear--transfer characteristics. These tubes (\$120 each)--along with the transformers, power supply design and the high-voltage sidechain control signal--are the core reasons the Fairchild and now the AT-101 sound as they do and are free of ugly limiter/ compressor artifacts, no matter how much compression is used.



Other modern remedies include a more efficient power supply that ramps up the filament voltage upon power-up for longer tube life; a regulated highvoltage B+ source; an additional regulated linear power supply for the 6386



tubes; an over-temperature monitoring system--the unit dissipates more than 300 watts in heat; and a stereolink switch replacing the 670's Lateral/Vertical M/S processing mode. As an option, Analogue Tube will add a Mid/Side processing mode soon.

In general all maintenance and re-alignment procedures, necessary when replacing tubes, has been greatly streamlined and can be done by any owner. It requires only an oscillator and small tweaking screwdriver--I used the signal generator in Pro Tools and my little "greenie."

IN THE STUDIO

I've been using the AT-101 on every possible source, including across the stereo bus. The Fairchild 670 was the first limiter I ever used, and over the years I've experienced its inconsistent and unreliable operation, sonic differences between the channels, and the day-by-day changes in compression and distortion. By comparison, the AT-101 is smoother and more liquid sounding; warmer and richer without being boomy; and "shiny" sounding without treble hype.

Using new <u>Vovox</u> audio cables, I sent my Pro Tools mix out to the AT-101 and returned its output back into my Benchmark Media ADC1 A/D converter. After sending an -18dB tone with the PT's signal generator to it, I found the 11 position on the unit's Input Gain control on both channels to produce unity gain (with the Threshold Control fully CCW) back into Pro Tools.

My first mix was a country rock song. All of the typical complaints from the "in-the-box" mix naysayers vanished, as the overall mix instantly gelled with a rich and super-warm sheen. However, my first settings were too heavy-handed. After repeated listens, I began to miss the give and take of the track's internal dynamics. After backing the threshold control down, I ended up with about 2dB maximum gain reduction on peaks as indicated on the unit's two big VU meters. The mix was still smooth but not as clamped-sounding. More compression sounded very good and clear and works well for certain music/mixing styles--just not this song--I like having the choice.

On a smooth jazz song mix, I used the Time Constant (TC) set to 2 with its 0.2 ms attack and 0.8-second release times. I got about 3dB max gain reduction with the threshold at 3. Threshold settings follow level input. If you turn up the level input, the output level goes up predicated on the threshold knob's setting. At fully CCW (off), the AT-101 will make about 18 dB of super-warm gain.

I tried all six timeconstant settings, and found



file:///Users/barryrud/ADocuments/barryrudolph.com/mix/analoguetubeat-101.html (4 of 6)9/30/2010 10:03:11 AM

positions 1 or 2 good for uptempo songs, and positions 4



or 5, with their automatic program-dependent release times, better when you're looking more to warm up the sound than to control it. As I rarely place loud "meter-moving" mix elements hard-left and -right, I've never been a big fan of stereo linking. It works fine on the AT-101, but I didn't use it for any of these tests.

I also didn't use linking to record my 80-year-old Schiller baby grand piano. I used two Mojave 101 FET condenser mics (with -15dB pads) placed over the hammers. I used my <u>RTZ Professional Audio 9762 mic preamp</u> (a mil-spec version of the Neve 1272 circuit) plugged directly into the AT-101 followed by my ADC-1.

I used TC position 3 this time, and no matter how much you like to squash when recording, the sound was always smooth, bright (I used no EQ) and full. Winding up the input level control or just driving more level from the mic pre and/or increasing the threshold control gets you needle-pinning gain reduction--around 15 dB--that sounds fantastic.

The piano's soundboard and resonance, string harmonics, and even my piano's squeaky sostenuto pedal are all well heard, yet the attack of the hammers is somewhat rounded off--in spite of where I placed the mics. It's easy to get all those cool piano sounds you know from The Beatles, Rolling Stones and Pink Floyd.

The longer release times of TC positions 5 and 6 will act as a kind of release time Hold button where gain reduction is "frozen" over short time periods of no sound. When sound resumes, the AT-101's output level will be more or less the same as before. This is important when the user is relying on a compressor/limiter for a certain level of consistency when recording musical phrases separated by time gaps.

The <u>Abbey Road/EMI RS 124</u> compressor has a Hold button for this very purpose that disallows the attack "pop" a typical compressor would after recovering to unity from a previous severe gain reduction.

Recording and processing vocals in a mix are a lot of fun. There is no obsessing over exact record or mix levels when your singer gets (unexpectedly) louder or softer--the AT-101 makes it all good. I used TC position 3 or 4 and limited as much as the producer and I wanted. With my quiet preamp, we found no additional noise with the AT-101, making it possible to hear all the grit, grain and subtleties of our singer's voice, the mic and the surrounding recording space.

More fun is recording drums using TC position 1. This is the ultimate "When Levee Breaks" sound but I also liked using it like any other limiter/compressor on kicks and snares.

FAIRCHILD REINCARNATED

Analoguetube AT-101 Stereo Limiter

PRODUCT SUMMARY

COMPANY: Analoguetube Ltd WEB: analoguetube.com PRODUCT: AT-101 Stereo Limiter PRICE: \$19,000

CONS: Cost.

PROS: Tonally smooth and rich. No expense spared. The AT-101 is truly the ultimate limiter for any source and, as a mix bus limiter, it's a dream come true. It's the realization of the epitome of Narma's original concept and design, and if there was ever an addictive piece of outboard gear, I have found it.

Barry Rudolph is an L.A.-based recording engineer. Visit his Web site at: <u>WWW.</u> BARRYRUDOLPH.COM

MUSICIANS Friend.COM Learn More At Musician's Friend!

Click Here To Return To The Mix Directory

This Review Is Copyright © 2010 Through 2010 By Penton Media Inc. All Rights Reserved.



Back Up To The Top

All Web Page Design Is Copyright © 2010 through 2010 By Barry Rudolph